

## **K osobe Patrick T. Coffey,**

Denník San Francisco weekly zverejnil 19. augusta 2009 článok poukazujúci nato, že Patrick T. Coffey, ktorý robí v Kalifornii testy na detektore lži pre uchádzačov o miesto policajta, zastáva silno protiarabské názory. Denník vyslovil podozrenie, či vzhľadom k nespoľahlivosti detektora lži nehrozí, že Coffey bude vylučovať arabských záujemcov o post policajtov s poukazom nato, že klamú. SF weekly ďalej poukazuje nato, že Coffey musel zaplatiť istému Jesusovi Guerrerovi podľa súdnych záznamov 10 000 USD odškodnenie, pretože vykonal na ňom testy bez jeho súhlasu.

Dňa 12. augusta 2009 zverejnil SF weekly článok o vrahovi Henry Wilkensovi, ktorý si objednal vraždu svojej manželky, pritom však bez problémov prešiel cez detektor lži. Detektorom prešla aj Michelle Alvisová, ktorá zavraždila muža a ešte aj ukradla priamo na polícii 2000 USD. Profesor Feinberg z Carnegie Mellon University k tomu uviedol, že "polygraf je lepší ako náhoda, ale zďaleka nie dokonalý a zďaleka nie spoľahlivý". Od Wilkensovho prípadu vedci, novinári aj iní experti spochybňujú validitu detektora. Polygraf je zakázaný vo väčšine prípadov ako dôkaz na súde. V roku 1988 Kongres zakázal zamestnávateľom používať polygraf na zamestnancoch. Patrick Coffey ale všetky tieto názory odmieta ako prehnané a zastaralé.

Dňa 11. marca 2011 Americká polygrafická asociácia (American Polygraph Association) odmietla prihlášku Patricka Coffey z dôvodu, že v tejto uviedol nepravdivé údaje. Podľa informácie združenia Antipolygraph.org polícia v San Franciscu nepredĺžila zmluvu s Patrickom Coffeym o testovaní uchádzačov o zamestnanie.



Patrick T. Coffey Censured by American  
Polygraph Association  
Apr 8th, 2011 at 12:16pm Mark & Quote  
Quote

...

Patrick T. Coffey

The American Polygraph Association (APA) published at p. 32 of the March/April 2011 issue of its bimensual APA Magazine a letter censuring polygraph operator Patrick T. Coffey for providing inaccurate information in an application for renewal of his APA membership.

Regular readers of AntiPolygraph.org may recall that Mr. Coffey authored controversial posts on this message board that were later cited in an article by S.F. Weekly reporter Matt Smith. Coffey subsequently threatened me with a lawsuit for linking to this article in a blog post titled, "Is Patrick T. Coffey Fit to Be Screening Police Applicants?"

Coffey did not follow through with his threatened law suit, and it is AntiPolygraph.org's understanding that the San Francisco Police Department chose not to extend its contract with Coffey for the polygraph screening of applicants.

AntiPolygraph.org has received a copy of the APA's letter of censure, which is transcribed below and attached to this post in PDF

AntiPolygraph.org has received a copy of the APA's letter of censure, which is transcribed below and attached to this post in PDF format:

Quote:  
March 11, 2011

Patrick T. Coffey  
533 Airport Blvd.  
Suite 400  
Burlingame, California 94010 via email:  
PTCoffey@mindspring.com

Re: APA Grievance No. 127-10

Dear Mr. Coffey:

It is the decision of the Board of Directors of the American Polygraph Association (APA) to accept an agreed disposition between you and the APA Ethics and Grievance Committee (EGC) of Ethics Complaint No. 127-10 which was received by the APA on May 28, 2010. That agreed disposition is for the issuance of a public letter of censure to be published in an upcoming issue of the APA Magazine.

The complaint in this matter alleges that you failed to provide complete and accurate information when you re-applied for

<http://cloakdagner.proboards.com/index.cgi?board=comments&action=display&thread...> 17.6.2013

membership with the APA. Although you presented evidence that the inaccurate information provided on that re-application for membership was done unintentionally, the Committee determined, and the Board of Directors agree, that with the reasonable diligence expected of an applicant, you should have known that this information was inaccurate.

The APA depends on the diligence and candor of applicants to provide accurate information on applications. Without such diligence and candor, the APA cannot make informed decisions about whether an applicant meets the professional standards for membership.

Based on this agreed disposition and its adoption by the Board of Directors, it is directed that this letter be submitted for publication in the APA Magazine at the earliest available opportunity.

Sincerely,


Nathan J. Gordon  
 President, American Polygraph Association

bcc: William K. Teigen  
 Wade S. Church, Esq.

« *Last Edit:* Sept 5, 2011,  
 2:14am by Helen Dagner »

[Link to Post - Back to Top](#)  [Logged](#)

**Helen Dagner**  
 Administrator  
  
 member is **online**

**Re: Patrick T. Coffey  
 Censured by American  
 Polygra**  
  
 « Reply #1 on Sept 5, 2011